

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

Doc 113
222

26 APR 1993

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

IN REPLY REFER TO:

7330-7/1700A3

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Honorable Edward Kennedy
United States Senator
2400 John F. Kennedy Federal
Building
Boston, Massachusetts 02203

RECEIVED

MAY 12 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear Senator Kennedy:

This is in reply to your letter of April 6, 1993, in which you inquired on behalf

Congressional

DUK : 4-23-93

PLEASE MAKE 2 EXTRA COPIES OF INCOMING, ATTACHMENTS,
AND REPLY FOR DOCKET FILE, ROOM 222.

CONGRESSIONAL CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING SYSTEM
04/14/93

LETTER REPORT

CONTROL NO.	DATE RECEIVED	DATE OF CORRESP	DATE DUE	DATE DUE OLA(857)
9301677	04/14/93	04/06/93	05/04/93	

TITLE	MEMBERS NAME	REPLY FOR SIG OF
Senator	Edward Kennedy	BC

CONSTITUENT'S NAME	SUBJECT
James Ward	inq. comments on PR Docket 92-235

REF TO	REF TO	REF TO	REF TO
PRE/LM			
4-16			
DATE	DATE	DATE	DATE
04/14/93			

2400 John F. Kennedy Federal Building
Government Center
Boston, MA 02203
April 6, 1993
attn: Ms. Shelly McPhee

PRB
92-235

United States Senate

1677

Respectfully referred to:

Ms. Linda Townsend Solheim
Director, Legislative Affairs
Federal Communications Commission
Room 808
1919 M. Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Because of the desire of this office to be responsive to all inquiries and communications, your consideration of the attached is requested. Your findings and views, in duplicate form, along with return of the enclosure, will be appreciated by

Edward Kennedy

U.S.S.

2400 John F. Kennedy Federal Building
Government Center
Boston, MA 02203
April 6, 1993
attn: Ms. Shelly McPhee

PRB
92-235

SENATOR EDWARD M. KENNEDY
409 Kennedy Federal Office Bldg.,
Boston, Mass 02203

Dear Senator Kennedy,

I am very concerned about proposed rules that are currently under consideration by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). The proceeding is PR Docket 92-235. If adopted, the new rules will greatly reduce the usability of frequencies currently assigned for model use and increase the risk of accidents and attendant liability for controlling model airplanes.

Our radio control frequencies are in the 72 - 76 MHz band. This band is primarily used for private land mobile dispatch operations. However, our radio control frequencies in this band are far enough apart from the land mobile frequencies that we have been able to share the band without either use interfering with the other.

Now the FCC wants to create more land mobile frequencies by splitting them into narrower bandwidths and rearranging the band plan. As a result, many land mobile frequencies will move closer to the radio control frequencies and cause interference to radio control operations. I am told that of the 50 frequencies that are presently available for radio control of model airplanes, only 19 frequencies will be left if these new rules are adopted.

When we fly our model airplanes under radio control, we go to great lengths to assure the safety of the operators and bystanders and the protection of property. Many of our safety precautions involve the careful coordination and use of the radio control frequencies. If the number of usable frequencies is diminished as proposed by the FCC, the remaining frequencies will become congested and the margin of safety will be greatly decreased.

Please understand that many model airplanes have wing spans up to 10 feet and weigh as much as 30 or 40 pounds. The models themselves are expensive to build; but more to the point, they are capable of causing property damage, serious injury, or even death if radio interference causes the operator to lose control of the craft. We often fly our models at organized events and contests where hundreds of operators participate. We need the use of our full complement of radio frequencies in order to assure a safe flying environment.

I do not think it is wise of the FCC to seek to improve the operating conditions of land mobile radio users at the expense of radio control modelers. The FCC may not think we are as important as business users of radios, but we have a considerable investment in our models and in our radio equipment. The hobby provides many hours of enjoyment to thousands of people like myself and contributes to the advancement and development of the commercial aviation industry.

Please help me continue the safe enjoyment of my pastime by not allowing the FCC to carry out its proposals for the 72-76 MHz band.

Sincerely,

James Ward
48A Holliston St.

Medway, Mass.